University-Wide Assessment Committee  
Student Development  
November 27, 2012  
9:00 a.m., SNGL 112

**Members present:** John Beard, Jennie Cassidy, Allison Faix, Stephen Harrison, Tara Josey, Chris Mee, Caesar Ross, Frankie Weeks, Pat Singleton-Young

**Members absent:** Jean Ann Brakefield, Whitney Comer, Anne Monk, Travis Overton, Geoff Parsons, Tom Woodle

Opening Remarks

Jennie Cassidy, Chair, convened the meeting at 9:00 a.m. in SNGL 112 and welcomed all present.

Progress on Leadership Assessment

Whitney Comer will provide an update on progress on the Leadership Assessment at the next regular meeting.

Update on Student Services Focus Group Recommendations

  **Gathering Spaces/Seating:** Jennie Cassidy reported that she spoke with Debbie Conner regarding the need for additional recreational seating around campus. Conner agreed to take the suggestion to the Executive Council. Cassidy also reported that additional seating will be added to Blanton Park once the grass matures.

New Assessment Rubric

Cassidy distributed the draft of a new assessment rubric which will be used by the administrative units in reviewing the assessment reports. She requested suggestions and or changes to tailor the rubric for the UWAC-SD use. Chris Mee reported that recent changes to the rubric included a ten point scale which allows for more leeway in review as well as some wording changes.

Cassidy pointed out that Satisfactory and Exemplary ratings in the Analysis category were very similar and suggested re-wording to make each distinct. John Beard suggested that it may be helpful to review the rubric from the Student Learning Committee of UWAC. Cassidy also recommended that measurable objectives and student learning outcomes should be included, as well as the additional criterion of “Quality of Data Collection”. Mee proposed adding the description of analysis or analysis of results, to which the committee concurred.

Review of Campus Rec Assessment Report

The committee discussed the assessment report submitted by the Department of Campus Recreation.
SLO 1: Students will demonstrate good sportsmanship and behavior via team sportsmanship grade.

Mee suggested that for SLO 1, a graph with each team’s score could be attached in order to better track changes and to give an overall view. John Beard agreed that this would provide a useful benchmark.

SLO 2: To provide data that would suggest the sport club student retention rates and academic performance are above the general student average.

Tara Josey stated that complete data is not yet available for the second SLO. Caesar Ross stated that it seemed more of an objective than an SLO, to which Mee suggested either rewording as an SLO or changing it to the objective. Mee also contended that identifying what to compare will be the challenge for that particular section.

Next Meeting

The next meeting of the University-Wide Assessment Committee – Student Development will be on January 15, 2013 in SNGL 112. There being no further time to discuss business, the meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m.