## SCOE Lesson Plan Assignment

Candidates: The SCOE Lesson plan assignment is administered during a course designated by the program. Using the template provided to you by your instructor, you will create a lesson plan that you will create a lesson plan that addresses the following: 1) content area, including the use of research, 2) technology, and 3) instructional practices that meet diverse learning needs. Candidates must earn a mean score of 3 or higher on the rubric for this assessment, which is provided below.

Instructors: The SCOE Lesson plan assignment is administered during a course designated by the program. Candidates must earn a mean score of 3 or higher on this assessment but may be allowed to repeat the assessment (in part or whole) at the instructor's discretion to demonstrate proficiency. The instructor of the course in which the lesson plan assignment is implemented will score the candidates' lesson plan using the rubric provided below. Candidates may use the lesson plan template preferred by the instructor, **provided that the following items are included**:

- State standard(s) and appropriate learning objectives
- Evidence that students have opportunities to practice higher order thinking and reasoning skills appropriate for the discipline
- Evidence that candidates appropriately use assessments to monitor student learning, and to gauge and correct student misperceptions
- Evidence that candidates can incorporate a balanced mix of questions and question types throughout the lesson
- Evidence that candidates can use strategies to determine the strengths and needs of students and create opportunities for students to demonstrate learning in different ways
- Evidence that candidates can access resources and supports to meet the needs of individual learners
- Evidence that candidates can consider the personal, family and community experiences of students when developing appropriate learning opportunities
- Evidence of meaningful student use of technology, manipulatives, or other developmentally appropriate tools to access, interpret, evaluate or apply information, as well as to allow candidates to demonstrate their understanding of content
- Evidence that the candidate demonstrates understanding of research-based best practices in the content area, and are able to provide rationales for choices throughout the lesson plan

The instructor may choose to include headings for each of these items, so that candidates have space to explain how each is addressed, or they may opt to embed these items throughout the lesson itself.

Candidates must earn a <u>mean score of 3 or higher</u> to proceed in their respective program. If the candidate does not achieve the required proficiency level, the assessment (in part or whole) may be repeated at the instructor's discretion.

# Rubric

#### Part 1: Content and Pedagogy

The content and strategies contained in the lesson plan demonstrate the candidate's knowledge of content in the subject area being taught and understanding of research-based teaching strategies appropriate for teaching the content.

Elements	Emerging Level 1	Developing Level 2	Target Level 3	Accomplished Level 4
The teacher identifies appropriate content standards and objectives for the lesson InTASC 7(g) (SCTS 4.0 Standard 13; CAEP Standard R1.3)	No evidence that the teacher identified appropriate content standards and objectives for the lesson.	Candidate states learning objectives that align to identified state and national content standards.	Candidate states specific and measurable learning objectives that align to identified state and national content standards.	Candidate states specific and measurable learning objectives that align to identified state and national content standards and provides a rationale for the alignment.
The teacher engages all learners in developing higher order thinking and reasoning skills. InTASC 8(f) (SCTS 4.0 Standard 11, CAEP Standard R1.3)	No evidence that candidate provides opportunities for students to practice higher order thinking and reasoning skills appropriate for the discipline.	Candidate's lesson plan includes opportunities for students to observe the teacher modeling higher order thinking skills.	Candidate's lesson plan includes opportunities for students to practice higher order thinking and reasoning skills appropriate for the discipline.	Opportunities for students to practice higher order thinking and reasoning skills appropriate for the discipline are embedded throughout the lesson plan.
The teacher appropriately uses assessments to monitor learner progress on the specified learning goals. InTASC 6(a) (SCTS 4.0 Standard 15, CAEP Standard R1.3)	No evidence of candidate's consideration of assessment strategies.	Candidate creates an assessment that does not align with the learning goals.	Candidate creates an assessment that matches the specified learning goals.	Candidate creates an assessment that matches the specified learning goals and provides a rationale for the alignment.

Emerging	Developing	Target	Accomplished Level 4
	Candidate assesses		Candidate assesses
candidate has assessed	students' prior	students' prior	students' prior
student misconceptions.	understandings of the	understandings of the	understandings of the
	content to identify	content to identify	content to identify
	•	misconceptions and includes	misconceptions and
	meenceptioner	opportunities to build	includes opportunities to
		bridges between prior	build bridges between
		understanding and new	prior understanding and
		learning.	new learning and provides
			a rationale for these
			strategies.
No evidence of questioning	Lesson plan includes	Lesson plan includes	A balanced mix of varied,
in candidate's lesson plan.	questions that are varied	questions that are varied	high quality questions are
	and high quality providing	and high quality providing a	embedded throughout the
	for some, but not all,	balanced mix of question	entire lesson plan:
	question types: knowledge	types: knowledge and	knowledge and
	and comprehension,	comprehension, application	comprehension,
	application and analysis, and	and analysis, and creation	application and analysis,
	creation and evaluation.	and evaluation.	and creation and
			evaluation.
	Level 1 No evidence that the candidate has assessed student misconceptions.	Level 1Level 2No evidence that the candidate has assessed student misconceptions.Candidate assesses students' prior understandings of the content to identify misconceptions.No evidence of questioning in candidate's lesson plan.Lesson plan includes questions that are varied and high quality providing for some, but not all, question types: knowledge and comprehension, 	Level 1Level 2Level 3No evidence that the candidate has assessed student misconceptions.Candidate assesses students' prior understandings of the content to identify misconceptions.Candidate assesses students' prior understandings of the content to identify misconceptions.No evidence of questioning in candidate's lesson plan.Lesson plan includes questions that are varied and high quality providing for some, but not all, question types: knowledge and comprehension, application and analysis, andLevel 3

### Part 2: Diversity

The lesson is planned and organized to meet the needs of a variety of students.

Elements	Emerging	Developing	Target	Accomplished
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
The teacher designs, adapts,	No evidence of	Candidate	Candidate includes	Candidate includes strategies for
and delivers instruction to	candidate's	incorporates multiple	strategies for identifying	identifying the diverse learning
address each student's diverse	consideration of the	approaches to	the diverse learning	strengths and needs of individual
learning strengths and needs	diverse learning	learning that engage a	strengths and needs of	students, incorporates multiple
and creates opportunities for	strengths and needs	range of learner's	individual students and	approaches to learning, and creates
students to demonstrate their	of students.	preferences.	incorporates multiple	opportunities for students to
learning in different ways.			approaches to learning.	demonstrate their learning in
InTASC 2(a)				different ways.
(SCTS 4.0 Standard 13, CAEP				
Standard R1.1)				
The teacher accesses	No evidence of	Candidate identifies	Candidate's lesson plan	Candidate's lesson plan indicates
resources, supports, and	candidate's	appropriate	indicates appropriate	appropriate adaptations for
specialized	consideration of	adaptations for one	adaptations for	instruction to address a variety of
assistance and services to	adaptations to meet	population of	instruction to address a	exceptional learner needs, including
meet particular learning	particular learning	students with	variety of exceptional	those associated with disabilities and
differences or needs. InTASC	differences or needs.	particular learning	learner	giftedness. Candidate provides a
2(f)		differences or needs.	needs, including those	rationale, based on research, as to
			associated with	why these modifications are
			disabilities and	appropriate.
(SCTS 4.0 Standard 13, CAEP			giftedness.	
Standard R1.1)				

Elements	Emerging	Developing	Target	Accomplished
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
The teacher brings multiple perspectives to the discussion of content, including attention to learners' personal, family, and community experiences and cultural norms. InTASC 2(d) (SCTS 4.0 Standard 10, CAEP Standard R1.1)	No evidence of candidate's consideration of students' personal, family, and community experiences and cultural norms.	Candidate considers students' personal, family, and community experiences or cultural norms, but minimally addresses them in the lesson.	Candidate considers students' personal, family, and community experiences or cultural norms and integrates these into the lesson through word problems, real-life scenarios, topics of interest, or other modalities.	Candidate considers students' personal, family, and community experiences or cultural norms and integrates these into the lesson through word problems, real-life scenarios, topics of interest, or other modalities. The candidate articulates how these factors are addressed in the lesson.

## Part 3: Technology

Candidates are able to plan instruction and assessment using technological tools that support student learning.

Elements	Emerging	Developing	Target	Accomplished
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
The teacher engages	No evidence that candidate	Candidate's lesson	Candidate's lesson includes	Candidate's lesson includes
learners in using	includes opportunities for	includes opportunities for	opportunities for learners to	opportunities for learners
appropriate technology	learners to use technology	learners to observe the	use technology appropriate for	to use technology
tools to access, interpret,	appropriate for the	teacher's engagement	the discipline to access,	appropriate for the
evaluate or apply	discipline.	with technology	interpret, evaluate, or apply	discipline to access,
information.		appropriate for the	information.	interpret, evaluate, or apply
InTASC 8(g)		discipline.		information, and provides a
				rationale for appropriate
				use of technology in this
(SCTS 4.0 Standard 5, CAEP Standard R1.3)				lesson.
The teacher seeks	No evidence of candidate's	Candidate's lesson	Candidate's lesson includes	Candidate's lesson includes
appropriate ways to	use of technology to	includes the use of	opportunities to engage	opportunities to engage
employ technology to	support learner assessment.			learners by allowing them
support assessment		to support assessment.	demonstrate their	to demonstrate their
practice that engages			understanding of content	understanding of content
learners.			using technology.	using technology and
InTASC 6(i)			6 6,	provides a rationale for why
				this use of technology
				supports the needs of
				learners.
(SCTS 4.0 Standard 5, CAEP				
Standard R1.3)				